# Decomposition of NO over [Co]-ZSM-5 Zeolite: Effect of Co-adsorbed O<sub>2</sub>

Yun-feng Chang\*,1 and Jon G. McCarty†

\* ABB Lummus Global, Inc., 1515 Broad Street, Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003; and † Catalytica, Inc., 430 Ferguson Drive, Mountain View, California 94043

Received May 30, 1997; revised January 14, 1998; accepted June 1, 1988

The decomposition of NO over four Co-containing ZSM-5 zeolites and Pr-, Ga-, and Cu-exchanged ZSM-5 zeolites was investigated using the isotope labeled <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O and a temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) technique. We found that [Co]-ZSM-5 that contains Co in the framework had the highest activity for NO decomposition (TOF:  $2.05 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 442°C and 0.2 vol% NO and 0.8 vol% O<sub>2</sub>), almost an order of magnitude greater than that previously reported for a zeolite catalyst, namely Cu-ZSM-5 (TOF:  $2.27\times 10^{-4}\,s^{-1}$  at 335°C and 0.2 vol% NO and 0.8 vol% O<sub>2</sub>) obtained under steady-state conditions. The phenomenally high activity of [Co]-ZSM-5 is due to the unique incorporation of Co<sup>2+</sup> in the siliceous MFI structure. For all the catalysts investigated, coadsorption of NO and O<sub>2</sub> led to a substantial increase in the amount of NO<sub>x</sub> adsorbed. However, the adsorbed species were not necessarily NO<sub>2</sub> as reported by others. We believe that the interaction between adsorbed  $NO_x$  species and  $O_2$  is responsible for enhancing the rate of  $NO_x$  decomposition. It is obvious that the framework Co<sup>2+</sup> behaves very differently from Co<sup>2+</sup> in the countercation position and from extra-framework CoO such as that supported on or dispersed on the surface of silicalite also having the same MFI structure. © 1998 Academic Press

*Key Words:* nitric oxide decomposition; NO<sub>x</sub> reduction; cobalt zeolite, [Co]-ZSM-5; framework Co in ZSM-5; isotope <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O; co-adsorbed oxygen; Co<sup>2+</sup>-, Pr<sup>3+</sup>-, Ga<sup>3+</sup>-, and Cu<sup>2+</sup>-exchanged ZSM-5.

#### INTRODUCTION

Since the decomposition of NO over Cu-ZSM-5 zeolite was first reported (1), many investigators have sought more active catalysts because this catalyst is not sufficiently active for practical use. There appears to be a consensus that  $N_2$ desorbs as a product and  $N_2O$  exists as an intermediate on zeolite catalysts, at low temperatures (300°C) in the absence of  $O_2$ . However, there is no conclusive evidence regarding which adsorbed nitrogen oxide species is the precursor for  $N_2$ , especially in the presence of oxygen.

In this study, temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) experiments using doubly labeled isotopic NO ( $^{15}N^{18}O$ ) and nonlabeled O<sub>2</sub> were conducted to elucidate the mechanism of NO decomposition, the interaction be-

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author.

tween NO and the zeolite, and more importantly, the involvement of  $O_2$  in the mechanism.

The zeolite catalysts investigated include [Co]-ZSM-5, H-ZSM-5, Co-HZSM-5, Co/silicalite, Co-HZSM5-100, Ga-HZSM-5, Cu-ZSM5-108, and Pr-HZSM5-100. [Co]-ZSM-5 was used to find out whether framework-incorporated cobalt in the 2+ oxidation state has any catalytic activity and how it is different from Co<sup>2+</sup> in the countercation position of ZSM-5 zeolites. It has been demonstrated that the cobalt in the cobaltsilicate ZSM-5 and CoAPO-5 is in a 2+ state (2, 3). We found that [Co]-ZSM-5 is one order of magnitude more active than Cu-ZSM-5 for decomposing NO in the presence of oxygen. This finding may represent a milestone in the endeavor to develop zeolite-based active catalysts for NO<sub>x</sub> reduction.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### Catalyst

[Co]-ZSM-5 was synthesized according to a method described by a Mobil group (4). The gel composition (molar ratio) was: 28.8 SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ajax's SNOWTEX-40), 3.2 Na<sub>2</sub>O (NaOH) (Ajax, AR grade), 5.6 Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (BDH, AR grade), 1.0 CoO (CoSO<sub>4</sub> • 7H<sub>2</sub>O) (Aldrich, AR grade), 1356 H<sub>2</sub>O, 2.9 *n*-tetrapropylammonium bromide (Fluka, 99.8%), and 2.9 NaBr (BDH, 99.9%). It was treated hydrothermally at 175°C for 48 h. The product contained 0.46 wt% Co, or 78.1  $\mu$ mol • g<sup>-1</sup>. XRD data revealed it has MFI structure and 100% crystallinity. SEM and optical microscope showed uniform chunky grains like crystals of 8–10  $\mu$ m.

Co-HZSM-5 was prepared by refluxing a mixture containing H-ZSM-5 ([H<sup>+</sup>]: 560  $\mu$ mol • g<sup>-1</sup>) zeolite powder and 1.0 *M* Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Aldrich, AR reagent) aqueous solution at a ratio of 1 g zeolite to 20 cm<sup>3</sup> solution. After two consecutive exchanges, the solid was washed and dried, then calcined at 550°C for 6 h. Elemental analysis (see Table 1) showed this catalyst contained 0.38 wt% Co, which represents 23% of the total ion exchange capacity of the parent material.

Cu-ZSM5-108 was prepared following the same procedure as that for Co-HZSM-5 by refluxing Na-ZSM-5 with

TABLE 1

**Composition and Properties of Catalysts Studied** 

	Composition				
Catalyst	SiO <sub>2</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Ratio	$[\mathrm{H^+}] \ (\mu \mathrm{mol} \bullet \mathrm{g^{-1}})$	Metal (wt.%) <sup>a</sup>		
H-ZSM-5	60	560	b		
Co/silicalite	>35,300	0	2.0		
Co-HZSM-5	60	431	0.38		
Co-HZSM5-100	60	0	1.65		
[Co]-ZSM-5	>300,000	b	<b>0.46</b> <sup>c</sup>		
Ga-HZSM-5	60	b	3.5		
Pr-HZSM5-100	60	b	2.3		
Cu-NaZSM5-108 60		0	1.9		

<sup>a</sup> Excluding framework Si and Al.

<sup>b</sup> Unavailable.

<sup>c</sup> In the framework.

1.0 M Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Aldrich, AR reagent) aqueous solution at a ratio of 1 g zeolite to 20 cm<sup>3</sup> solution. Its composition is given in Table 1.

Co-HZSM5-100 and Pr-HZSM5-100. These catalysts were made by incipient wetness impregnation of H-ZSM-5 with the respective required amounts of  $Co(NO)_2 \bullet 6H_2O$  (Aldrich, AR grade) or  $Pr(NO_3)_3 \bullet 5H_2O$  (Alfa, 99.9%) to give 100% exchange of the protons (assuming each  $Co^{2+}$  replaced two H<sup>+</sup> and each  $Pr^{3+}$  replaced three H<sup>+</sup>). The mixtures were dried at 110°C and then calcined in air at 550°C for 12 h.

Ga-HZSM-5 was prepared according to the same procedure as Co-HZSM5-100, designed to give a nominal 100% exchange of the protons (assuming Ga is in the form (GaO)<sup>+</sup>, as reported by others (5)).

## Materials

The isotope double-labeled  ${}^{15}N^{18}O$  was obtained from ISOTECH (1 vol%  ${}^{15}N^{18}O$ , 1 vol% Ar, and 98 vol% He),  $O_2$  from Liquid Carbonic (4 vol%  $O_2$ , 1 vol% Ar, and 95 vol% He), and high purity helium also from Liquid Carbonic (99.999 vol% He).

### Temperature-Programmed Experiments

Before a temperature-programmed experiment, the catalyst sample (20 mg or 200 mg) was pretreated in 2 vol%  $O_2$  flowing at 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min. It was heated to 600°C and then held at this temperature for 20 min before being cooled to 40°C. The adsorption of <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O, or the co-adsorption of <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O and  $O_2$ , was conducted for 30 min at 40°C in a 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min flowing steam of 0.2 vol% <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O or 0.2% <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O and 0.8 vol%  $O_2$ , respectively. The treated catalyst was purged in a stream of He at 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min for 30 min before temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) was begun. The He flow used during TPD was 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min. The effluent was monitored by an on-line mass spectrometer

(Electronic Associates Inc.) for the following species:  $m^+/e$ : 30 for  ${}^{15}N_2$ ; 31 for  ${}^{15}N^{16}O$ ; 32 for  ${}^{16}O_2$ ; 33 for  ${}^{15}N^{18}O$ ; 34 for  ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ ; 36 for  ${}^{18}O_2$ ; 40 for Ar; 46 for  ${}^{15}N_2{}^{16}O$ ; 47 for  ${}^{15}N^{16}O_2$ ; 48 for  ${}^{15}N_2{}^{18}O$ ; 49 for  ${}^{15}N^{16}O{}^{18}O$ ; and 51 for  ${}^{15}N^{18}O_2$ . The mass spectrometer was calibrated with N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, O<sub>2</sub>, Ar, and NO<sub>2</sub>.

#### RESULTS

During the 40°C exposure of zeolite catalysts with either  $^{15}N^{18}O$  or a mixture of  $^{15}N^{18}O$  and  $O_2$ , a significant amount of <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O was observed in the reactor effluent. This indicates that the isotope exchange between <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O and the zeolite framework oxygen, or oxygen adsorbed on the catalyst, occurred at this low temperature. The amount of such an isotope exchange varied with the catalyst. The exchange ceased when saturation adsorption was achieved, suggesting that the process occurred by adsorption of <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O on the zeolites. No other products were found in the effluent, suggesting that neither disproportionation to form N<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>2</sub> nor decomposition to N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> were significant at this temperature. No attempts were made to quantify the degree of exchange. A detailed analysis of the isotope exchange between <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O and the framework oxygen (<sup>16</sup>O) of Cu-ZSM-5 and Fe-MOR zeolites at high temperatures ( $\sim$ 500°C) was reported previously (6).

During the TPD a number of desorption products were detected, including  $^{15}N^{18}O, \, ^{15}N^{16}O, \, ^{15}N_2 \, ^{18}O, \, ^{15}N_2 \, ^{16}O, \, ^{15}N_2, \, ^{15}N_2, \, ^{15}N_2 \, ^{16}O, \, ^{15}N_2, \, ^{16}O_2, \, ^{16}O_2, \, ^{16}O_1 \, ^{18}O, \, and \, ^{18}O_2.$ 

For simplicity, only the critical features are presented here. Figures 1 through 3 present as a function of temperature the desorption of NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> and the formation of N<sub>2</sub> for the various catalysts. The TPD profiles show two distinct desorption regions: below 300°C and above 300°C. Based on this arbitrary classification, the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> or O<sub>2</sub> adsorbed after being treated in either NO alone or a mixture of NO and O<sub>2</sub> measured from TPD experiments are summarized in Table 2. The maximum activities of the catalysts, as measured by TPD, are compared in Table 3.

It is worthwhile to point out that, due to the use of the argon tracer in the reactant gas stream, in both double-labeled NO and oxygen the mass balance was easily conducted. Satisfactory mass balance for both nitrogen and oxygen was obtained.

#### DISCUSSION

# Treated in <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O

Table 2 shows that in the absence of  $O_2$  in the gas stream, the amount of  $NO_x$  adsorbed measured by TPD is very small (<9  $\mu$ mol • g<sup>-1</sup>) for H-ZSM-5, Co/silicalite, Pr-HZSM5-100, and Ga-HZSM-5. In contrast, Co-HZSM-5, [Co]-ZSM-5, and Co-HZSM5-100 have much higher uptake. This illustrates the importance of active sites and also the



**FIG. 1.** (a) Desorption of NO<sub>x</sub> from different ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts during TPD after treated in 0.2 vol%  $^{15}N^{18}O$  (50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>) at 40°C; catalyst mass: 0.2 g; flow of He: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>; ramp rate: 30°C • min<sup>-1</sup>. (b) Desorption of NO<sub>x</sub> from different ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts during TPD after treated in 0.2 vol%  $^{15}N^{18}O$  and 0.8 vol% O<sub>2</sub> (50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>) at 40°C; catalyst mass: 0.2 g; flow of He: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>; ramp rate: 30°C • min<sup>-1</sup>.

importance of metal cations or the interaction between the metal cation and zeolite structure.

TPD curves for both Co-HZSM-5 and Co-HZSM5-100 are dominated by the low-temperature peaks ( $<300^{\circ}$ C), whereas for [Co]-ZSM-5, high-temperature peaks predominate. This similarity in NO<sub>x</sub> TPD characteristics between Co-HZSM-5 and Co-HZSM5-100 and its sharp contrast with [Co]-ZSM-5 strongly suggest that the local environment of Co<sup>2+</sup> in the zeolite plays a very important role in determining its interaction with NO. NO is more strongly bound on framework Co<sup>2+</sup> than that in the countercation positions.

The amount of oxygen desorbed during TPD experiments was negligible except for [Co]-ZSM-5 and Co-HZSM5-100. For these cases, major desorption occurred at >300°C. For Co-ZSM-5 and Co-HZSM5-100, major NO decomposition occurred at  $<300^{\circ}$ C (see Fig. 3a). However, for [Co]-ZSM-5, NO decomposition took place exclusively at temperatures above 300°C. This difference is associated with the structural difference between Co<sup>2+</sup> as countercations in both Co-HZSM-5 and Co-HZSM5-100 and the Co<sup>2+</sup> as the framework cation in [Co]-ZSM-5. Regardless these differences, generally speaking, the rate of NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition is very low.

## Treated in ${}^{15}N^{18}O$ and $O_2$

Adding  $O_2$  into the gas stream resulted in sharp increase in amount of  $NO_x$  and  $O_2$  adsorbed (see Table 2). The increment in the amount of  $NO_x$  adsorbed by the catalyst



**FIG. 2.** (a) Desorption of  $O_2$  from different ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts during TPD after treated in 0.2 vol%  ${}^{15}N^{18}O$  (50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>) at 40°C; catalyst mass: 0.2 g; flow of He: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>; ramp rate: 30°C • min<sup>-1</sup>. (b) Desorption of  $O_2$  from different ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts during TPD after treated in 0.2 vol%  ${}^{15}N^{18}O$  and 0.8 vol%  $O_2$  (50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>) at 40°C; catalyst mass: 0.2 g; flow of He: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>; ramp rate: 30°C • min<sup>-1</sup>.



**FIG. 3.** (a) Formation of N<sub>2</sub> over different ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts during TPD after treated in 0.2 vol%  $^{15}N^{18}O$  (50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>) at 40°C; catalyst mass: 0.2 g; flow of He: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>; ramp rate: 30°C • min<sup>-1</sup>. (b) Formation of N<sub>2</sub> over different ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts during TPD after treated in 0.2 vol%  $^{15}N^{18}O$  and 0.8 vol% O<sub>2</sub> (50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>) at 40°C; catalyst mass: 0.2 g; flow of He: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>; ramp rate: 30°C • min<sup>-1</sup>.

caused by adding  $O_2$  into the NO gas stream in more pronounced for [Co]-ZSM-5, H-ZSM-5, Pr-HZSM5-100, and Ga-HZSM-5 than for Co-HZSM5-100 and Co/silicalite. H-ZSM-5, Pr-HZSM5-100, Co-HZSM-5, and Ga-HZSM-5 have the common feature that a majority of the increment occurred at temperatures below 300°C.

In contrast, the increment in the amount of  $O_2$  adsorbed is mostly due to that occurred at high temperatures (>300°C). [Co]-ZSM-5 has the most increase, followed by Co-HZSM5-100, then Co/silicalite, Pr-HZSM5-100, and Ga-HZSM-5 while H-ZSM-5 has the least increase.

The high efficiency of [Co]-ZSM-5 in forming NO<sub>x</sub> species at high temperature (>300°C) suggests that framework-incorporated Co<sup>2+</sup> is more effective in facilitating the formation of NO<sub>x</sub> intermediates than Co<sup>2+</sup>, H<sup>+</sup>, or other transition metal cations and rare-earth metal cations in the countercation positions. The formation of NO<sub>x</sub> in-

termediates may also be interpreted as oxidation of NO to  $NO_x$  ( $NO_2$  or  $N_2O_3$ ). Previously, it was concluded by Shelef *et al.* (7) that H-ZSM-5, Cu-ZSM-5, and Cu/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were capable of oxidizing NO to  $NO_2$ , and more importantly, that catalyst which has the highest activity for NO oxidation to  $NO_2$  also has the highest activity for NO decomposition.

Figures 1b and 2b show that, in addition to the increase in the amount of  $O_2$  adsorbed, the TPD characteristics were also changed by co-adsorbing  $^{15}N^{18}O$  and  $O_2$ , for instance, the dominant peak shift 40°C downwards for [Co]-ZSM-5 and Co-HZSM-5 and for Co-HZSM5-100 the appearance of a low temperature shoulder at around 350°C.

In Table 3, except H-ZSM-5, for all other catalysts only the high-temperature activity is reported and compared. This is because the moderate low-temperature ( $<300^{\circ}$ C) activity is a common feature for all catalysts and is not significantly affected by metal cations.

TABLE	2
-------	---

Summary of TPD Results of Different Zeolite Catalysts Treated either in NO Alone or with O2 at 40°C

	TPD results							
Catalyst	Amount of NO desorbed ( $\mu$ mol • g <sup>-1</sup> )			Amount of $O_2$ desorbed ( $\mu$ mol • g <sup>-1</sup> )				
	NO-treated		$NO + O_2$ treated		NO-treated		NO + O <sub>2</sub> treated	
	<sup>2</sup> 300°C	$>300^{\circ}C$	<sup>2</sup> 300°C	$>300^{\circ}C$	<sup>2</sup> 300°C	$>300^{\circ}C$	<sup>2</sup> 300°C	>300°C
H-ZSM-5	7.9	0.7	90.0	8.6	0.03	0.2	1.7	0.6
Co/silicalite	4.5	0.5	17.7	47.6	0.10	0.24	1.0	21.8
Co-HZSM-5	130.4	1.0	181.8	45.4	1.6	0.9	4.2	12.2
Co-HZSM5-100	288.0	35.4	181.6	86.8	2.5	1.0	5.5	30.1
[Co]-ZSM-5	3.5	64.4	65.5	148.3	0.4	38.0	0.8	74.6
Pr-ZSM5-100	5.5	2.4	126.9	4.0	0	2.6	4.3	17.4
Ga-HZSM-5	5.8	2.2	119.4	24.3	0	3.4	4.3	17.9

Note. Catalyst mass: 0.2 g; O<sub>2</sub>/no: 0.2 vol%  $^{15}N^{18}O$  at 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; O<sub>2</sub>/yes: 0.2 vol%  $^{15}N^{18}O$  and 0.8 vol% O<sub>2</sub> at 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; TPD: heating rate: 30°C/min in He at 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min.

TABLE	3	
-------	---	--

	TPD results					
Catalyst	Max. N <sub>2</sub> formation ( $\mu$ mol • g <sup>-1</sup> • s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{aligned} TOF \times 10^3 \\ (molec \bullet M^{-1} \bullet s^{-1})^b \end{aligned}$	Max. conversion (%)	Temperature (°C)		
[Co]-ZSM-5	0.081	2.051	26.8	442		
Cu-NaZSM5-108 <sup>f</sup>	0.034	0.227	10.6	335		
Co-HZSM5-100	0.013	0.093	6.7	418		
Ga-HZSM-5	0.008	0.032	10.2	412		
Pr-HZSM5-100	0.008	0.098	8.6	410		
Co/silicalite	0.005	0.029	4.4	370 <sup>c</sup>		
Co-HZSM-5	0.005	0.155	7.7	370		
H-ZSM-5	0.015	$0.054^d$	5.1	134 <sup>e</sup>		

Summary of NO Decomposition Results Obtained from TPD Measurements<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Catalyst: 0.2 g, 0.2 vol%  $^{15}N^{18}O$ , 0.8 vol%  $O_2$ ; flow rate: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> • min<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> TOF: NO turnover frequency per metal site.

<sup>c</sup> Other than a low temperature maximum at around 200°C.

<sup>d</sup> Per proton.

<sup>e</sup> Characteristic of H-ZSM-5 zeolite.

<sup>f</sup>Data from Chang and McCarty [16].

Noting from Fig. 1b, co-adsorbing  ${}^{15}N^{18}O$  and  $O_2$  reduced the high-temperature portion of the low-temperature NO peak while it increased sharply the high-temperature peak. This is also true for  $O_2$  (see Fig. 2b).

From Fig. 3b it is clear that co-adsorbing  $^{15}N^{18}O$  and  $O_2$ led to significant enhancement in the rate of NO decomposition. However, the increase for H-ZSM-5, Co-HZSM-5, and Co-HZSM5-10 is moderate, compared to that of [Co]-ZSM-5. The TOFs (turn over frequency: number of NO molecules converted to  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  per metal site per second at 0.2 vol%<sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O and 0.8 vol% O<sub>2</sub>) calculated for all catalysts studied are contained in Table 3. The highest activity achieved on [Co]-ZSM-5 at 442°C,  $2.051 \times 10^{-3}$  mol •  $Co^{-1} \bullet s^{-1}$  is almost one order of magnitude higher than that of the most active known catalyst, Cu-ZSM-5,  $0.227 \times$  $10^{-3}$  mol • Cu<sup>-1</sup> • s<sup>-1</sup> at 335°C obtained under steady-state conditions. The TOF for Cu-ZSM-5 obtained in this work is very close to those reported by others for Cu-ZSM-5. A comprehensive review of rate data on NO decomposition over a large variety of Cu-ZSM-5 catalysts prepared by different methods with different Cu-loading and different ZSM-5, conducted by Campa et al. revealed that NO decomposition rate is approximately first order to the concentration of NO (8). Based on a universal activity versus the NO partial pressure curve constructed for previously reported NO decomposition data the TOF corresponding to the experimental conditions employed in this work are estimated to be 0.12 ( $\pm 0.02$ ) × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol • Cu<sup>-1</sup> • s<sup>-1</sup>.

The extremely high activity displayed by the framework incorporated  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  in the highly siliceous MFI structure is unique because  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  incorporated into a similar MFI structure was shown to be not active at all for decomposing NO (9).

Results in Figs. 2a and 3a show that for all four Cocontaining zeolite catalysts in the low-temperature region, desorption of N<sub>2</sub> is accompanied by desorption of O<sub>2</sub> and the ratio of  $N_2$  to  $O_2$  is close to 2, suggesting stoichiometric decomposition of NO to N<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub>. This is different from Li and Armor results on Co-FERR (10). Using TPD, these authors found that in addition to the desorption of NO, a major desorption peak of N<sub>2</sub> at 40–100°C and a major desorption peak of N<sub>2</sub>O at 200–300°C. However, no desorption of O<sub>2</sub> was observed. A TPD carried out in the flow of 10% O<sub>2</sub> in He showed a similar peak for N<sub>2</sub> but disappearance of the N<sub>2</sub>O peak. They believed that formation of  $N_2O_2$ , indicating disproportionation of NO to  $N_2O_2$  and  $NO_2$ , although they did not observe NO2 as a major desorption product. The lack of  $N_2O$  as a desorption product when  $O_2$ was present in the carrier gas indicates that the presence of O<sub>2</sub> suppresses the disproportionation reaction.

On Co-HZSM-5, Co-HZSM5-100, [Co]-ZSM-5, and the other catalysts studied, the formation of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>2</sub> was negligible when treated in <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O alone. However, treatment in the gas stream containing both <sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O and O<sub>2</sub> resulted in the formation of NO<sub>2</sub>s (<sup>15</sup>N<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub>, <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub>, <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub>, <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O<sup>18</sup>O). This is in agreement with others finding that oxidation of NO by O<sub>2</sub> to form NO<sub>2</sub> is effectively catalyzed by H-ZSM-5 (11), H-MOR, Fe-MOR, and Cu-MOR (12), Cu-ZSM-5 (13) at relatively low temperature, e.g., room temperature. Except for [Co]-ZSM-5, all other catalysts showed two major NO<sub>2</sub> desorption peaks at <300°C and >300°C. For [Co]-ZSM-5 no NO<sub>2</sub> desorption occurred at >300°C, presumably due to the high rate of NO<sub>2</sub> decomposition on framework Co<sup>2+</sup> at high temperatures.

The fact that co-adsorbing NO and  $O_2$  resulted in the formation of  $NO_2$  and a higher rate of  $NO_x$  decomposition

seems to suggest that  $NO_2$  is the reactive intermediate responsible for  $NO_x$  decomposition to form  $N_2$  and  $O_2$ . However,  $NO_2$  is not the only reactive intermediate because in the absence of any formation of  $NO_2$ , decomposition of NOalso occurs. In this case, it is via an  $N_2O$  intermediate. This is in agreement with others (10).

The enhancement of NO<sub>x</sub> adsorption by zeolites in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> was also observed by others. For instance, it was reported that the uptake of NO<sub>x</sub> by Na-ZSM-5, Na-Y, and Cu-ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts was enhanced by co-adsorbing NO and NO<sub>2</sub> or NO and O<sub>2</sub> (14). The same authors also concluded that the formation of NO<sub>x</sub> is facilitated by the zeolite structure, not by the presence of Cu<sup>2+</sup> although the adsorbed quantities are enhanced by Cu. Further, they postulated the NO<sub>x</sub> intermediates are N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Our TPD results (see Table 3) suggest the composition of  $NO_x$  species formed on our catalysts are in between NO and  $NO_2$ , probably close to  $N_2O_3$  proposed above (14).

Quantum mechanic calculations by Kishner *et al.* (15) show that the N-N bond in N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is of  $\sigma$  type with high *p*-type character. The N-N bond order is reduced by the oxygen lone pair antibonding delocalization onto the nitrogen.

Therefore, the formation of  $N_2O_3$ -like  $NO_x$  intermediates on the catalyst surface has weakened the N-O bonding, leading to higher rate of  $NO_x$  decomposition.

We have not conducted structural characterization of our [Co]-ZSM-5 catalyst. Using solid state NMR and XRD, Szostak *et al.* (2) concluded that cobaltsilicate ZSM-5 have  $Co^{2+}$  incorporated into the zeolite framework. Using XAFS, Zhang and Harris (3) demonstrated that cobalt in CoAPO-5 are  $Co^{2+}$  incorporated in the tetrahedral molecular sieve framework. Each  $Co^{2+}$  is coordinated with four oxygen atoms at 1.93 Å. They also found that calcination of CoAPO-5 at ~600°C did not cause any significant loss of cobalt from the framework nor did reduction in hydrogen. Inferred from the above results, we believe that in our [Co]-ZSM-5 zeolite, it is  $Co^{2+}$  incorporated in the MFI framework which are responsible for the extremely high activity for NO decomposition.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Using TPD technique, we have found [Co]-ZSM-5 which contains  $Co^{2+}$  incorporated in the siliceous MFI frame-

work has almost an order of magnitude higher activity for the decomposition of NO in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> than the most active catalyst, Cu-ZSM-5, previously reported. Coadsorption of NO and O<sub>2</sub> led to substantial enhancement in the amount of  $NO_x$  adsorbed on the catalysts irrespective of cation type or degree of metal loading. The frameworkincorporated  $Co^{2+}$  is responsible for the high rate of NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition. Contrary to previous findings by others, the presence of O<sub>2</sub> is essential for higher NO decomposition activity. We believe that the formation of a NO<sub>x</sub> intermediate with structure close to N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> may be responsible for the enhancement in the rate of NO decomposition when NO and  $O_2$  are co-adsorbed. In the absence of oxygen, formation of  $NO_x$  is limited by the amount of oxygen retained by the catalyst, resulting in lower activity in the NO decomposition.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Iwamoto, M., Furukawa, H., Mine, Y., Uemura, F., Mikuriya, S., and Kagawa, S., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1272 (1986).
- Szostak, R., Nair, V., Shieh, D. C., Simmons, D. K., Thomas, T. L., Kuvadia, R., and Dunson, B., *in* "International Symposium on Innovation in Zeolite Materials Science, Nieuwpoort, Belgium, September 13–17, 1987."
- 3. Zhang, G., and Harris, T. V., Physica B 208/209, 697 (1995).
- Chen, N. Y., Miale, J. N., and Reagan, N. Y., U.S. Patent 4,112,056 (1978).
- Doorley, K. M., Guidry, T. F., and Price, G. L., J. Catal. 157, 66 (1995).
- Valyon, J., Millman, W. S., and Hall, W. K., *Catal. Lett.* 24, 215 (1994).
- Shelef, M., Montreuil, C. N., and Jen, H. W., *Catal. Lett.* 26, 277 (1994).
- Campa, M. C., Indovina, V., Minelli, G., Moretti, G., Pettini, I., Porta, P., and Riccio, A., *Catal. Lett.* 23, 141 (1994).
- 9. Eränen, K., Kumar, N., and Lindfors, L.-E., *Appl. Catal. B* 4, 213 (1994).
- 10. Li, Y., and Armor, J. N., J. Catal. 150, 376 (1994).
- 11. Halasz, I., Brenner, A., and Ng, K. Y. S., Catal. Lett. 34, 151 (1995).
- Odenbrand, C. U. I., Andersson, L. A. H., Brandin, J. G. M., and Jaras, S., *Catal. Today* 4, 155 (1989).
- Ansell, G. P., Diwell, A. F., Golunski, S. E., Hayes, J. W., Rajaram, R. R., Truex, T. J., and Walker, A. P., *Appl. Catal.* 2, 81 (1993).
- Adelman, B. J., Lei, G.-D., and Sachtler, W. M. H., *Catal. Lett.* 28, 119 (1994).
- Kishner, S., Whitehead, M. A., and Gopinathan, M. S., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **100**, 1365 (1978).
- 16. Chang, Y. F., and McCarty, J. G., J. Catal. 165, 1 (1997).